

SEMISIMPLICITY OF THE CATEGORIES OF YETTER-DRINFELD MODULES AND LONG DIMODULES

S. CAENEPEEL AND T. GUÉDÉNON

ABSTRACT. Let k be a field, and H a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode. If H is commutative, noetherian, semisimple and cosemisimple, then the category ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ of Yetter-Drinfeld modules is semisimple. We also prove a similar statement for the category of Long dimodules, without the assumption that H is commutative.

INTRODUCTION

Let H be a Hopf algebra at the same time acting and coacting on a vector space M . We can impose various compatibility relations between the action and coaction, leading to different notions of Hopf modules. Hopf modules are already considered by Sweedler [13], and they have to satisfy the relation

$$\rho(hm) = \Delta(h)\rho(m) = h_1m_0 \otimes h_2m_1$$

One can also require that the H -coaction is H -linear:

$$\rho(hm) = h\rho(m) = hm_0 \otimes m_1$$

A module satisfying this condition is called a Long dimodule. Long dimodules are the building stones of the Brauer-Long group, in the case where the Hopf algebra H is commutative, cocommutative and faithfully projective (see [7], and [1] for a detailed discussion). Long dimodules are also connected to a non-linear equation (see [9]).

Another - at first sight complicated and artificial - compatibility relation is the following:

$$h_1m_0 \otimes h_2m_1 = (h_2m)_0 \otimes (h_2m)_1h_1$$

A module that satisfies it is called a Yetter-Drinfeld module. There is a close connection between Yetter-Drinfeld modules and the Drinfeld double (see [4]): if H is finitely generated projective, then the

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W30.

Key words and phrases. semisimple, Yetter-Drinfeld module, Long dimodule.

Research supported by the project G.0278.01 "Construction and applications of non-commutative geometry: from algebra to physics" from FWO Vlaanderen.

category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules is isomorphic to the category of modules over the Drinfeld double. Yetter-Drinfeld modules have been studied intensively by several authors over the passed fifteen years, see for example [2], [6], [8], [11], this list is far from exhaustive. One of the important features is the fact that the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules is braided monoidal. As Long dimodules, Yetter-Drinfeld modules are related to a non-linear equation, the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (see e.g. [5]). If H is commutative and cocommutative, then Yetter-Drinfeld modules coincide with Long dimodules.

In this note, we give sufficient conditions for the categories of Yetter-Drinfeld modules and Long dimodules to be semisimple (Section 3) and we study projective and injective dimension in these categories. Our main result is that the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules is semisimple if H is a commutative, noetherian, semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebra over a field k . The same is true for the category of Long dimodules, without the assumption that H is commutative.

For generalities on Hopf algebras, we refer the reader to [3], [10], [13]. For a detailed study of Hopf modules and their generalizations, we refer to [2].

1. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Let k be a commutative ring, and H a faithfully flat Hopf algebra with bijective antipode S . Unadorned $\otimes$ and Hom will be over k . We will use the Sweedler-Heyneman notation for comultiplication and coaction: for $h \in H$, we write

$$\Delta(h) = h_1 \otimes h_2$$

(summation implicitly understood), and for a right H -comodule (M, ρ_M) and $m \in M$, we write

$$\rho_M(m) = m_0 \otimes m_1$$

${}_H\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}^H$ will be the categories of respectively left H -modules and left H -linear maps, and right H -comodules and right H -colinear maps. If M and N are right H -comodules, then we denote the k -module consisting of right H -colinear maps from M to N by $\text{Hom}^H(M, N)$.

$$M^{\text{co}H} = \{m \in M \mid \rho_M(m) = m \otimes 1\}$$

is called the k -submodule of coinvariants of M . Observe that $H^{\text{co}H} = k$. Suppose that a k -vector space M is at the same time a left H -module and a right H -comodule. Recall that M is called a left-right Yetter-Drinfeld module if

$$h_1 m_0 \otimes h_2 m_1 = (h_2 m)_0 \otimes (h_2 m)_1 h_1$$

or, equivalently,

$$\rho(hm) = h_2m_0 \otimes h_3m_1S^{-1}(h_1)$$

for all $m \in M$ and $h \in H$. M is called a left-right Long dimodule if

$$\rho(hm) = hm_0 \otimes m_1$$

for all $m \in M$ and $h \in H$. If H is commutative and cocommutative, then a Long dimodule is the same as a Yetter-Drinfeld module. ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ and ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ will be the categories of respectively Yetter-Drinfeld modules and Long dimodules, and H -linear H -colinear maps. The k -module consisting of all H -linear H -colinear maps between two Yetter-Drinfeld modules or two Long dimodules M and N will be denoted by ${}_H\text{Hom}^H(M, N)$. If H is finitely generated and projective, then the category ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ is isomorphic to the category ${}_{D(H)}\mathcal{M}$, where $D(H)$ is the Drinfeld double of H , and ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ is isomorphic to ${}_{H \otimes H^*}\mathcal{M}$. The functors

$$(-)^{\text{co}H} : {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \text{ and } (-)^{\text{co}H} : {}_H\mathcal{L}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

are exact if

$$(-)^{\text{co}H} : \mathcal{M}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

is exact. This is the case if H is cosemisimple and k is a field.

Lemma 1.1. (1) *Let M and N be objects of ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$. Then $M \otimes N$ is an object of ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$; the H -action and H -coaction are given by*

$$h(m \otimes n) = h_1m \otimes h_2n \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(m \otimes n) = m_0 \otimes n_0 \otimes n_1m_1$$

(2) *Let M and N be objects of ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$. Then $M \otimes N$ is an object of ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$; the H -action and H -coaction are given by*

$$h(m \otimes n) = h_1m \otimes h_2n \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(m \otimes n) = m_0 \otimes n_0 \otimes m_1n_1$$

(3) *For any H -comodule N , $H \otimes N$ is an object of ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ via the following structures*

$$h(h' \otimes n) = hh' \otimes n \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(h \otimes n) = h_2 \otimes n_0 \otimes h_3n_1S^{-1}(h_1)$$

(4) *For any H -comodule N , $H \otimes N$ is an object of ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ via the following structures*

$$h(h' \otimes n) = hh' \otimes n \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(h \otimes n) = h \otimes n_0 \otimes n_1$$

Proof. This result is well-known, and the proof is a straightforward computation. It may be found in [1, p. 440], [1, Prop. 12.1.2], [2, Prop. 123], and [2, Sec. 7.2]. $\square$

Lemma 1.2. (1) *Let M and N be in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$. If H is commutative, then $M \otimes_H N$ is an object of ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$. The H -action and H -coaction are given by*

$$h(m \otimes n) = hm \otimes n = m \otimes hn$$

and

$$\rho_{M \otimes_H N}(m \otimes n) = m_0 \otimes n_0 \otimes n_1 m_1$$

(2) *Let H be commutative. Let M and N be in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ with M finitely generated projective in ${}_H\mathcal{M}$. Then*

(a) ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \in \mathcal{M}^H$ and

$${}_H\text{Hom}^H(M, N) = {}_H\text{Hom}(M, N)^{coH}$$

The coaction is defined by

$$\rho(f) = f_0 \otimes f_1 \in {}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \otimes H$$

if and only if

$$(1) \quad f_0(m) \otimes f_1 = f(m_0)_0 \otimes f(m_0)_1 S(m_1)$$

for all $m \in M$.

(b) ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$; the H -action is defined by $(hf)(m) = hf(m) = f(hm)$.

Proof. 1) It is clear that $M \otimes_H N$ is an H -module. An easy verification shows that the H -coaction is well-defined on the tensor product over H and that the necessary associativity and counit properties are satisfied, so that $M \otimes_H N$ is also an H -comodule. $M \otimes_H N$ is a Yetter-Drinfeld module, since we have for every $h \in H$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{M \otimes_H N}(hm \otimes n) &= (hm)_0 \otimes n_0 \otimes n_1(hm)_1 \\ &= h_2 m_0 \otimes n_0 \otimes n_1 h_3 m_1 S^{-1}(h_1) \\ &= h_2(m_0 \otimes n_0) \otimes h_3 n_1 m_1 S^{-1}(h_1) \\ &= h_2(m \otimes n)_0 \otimes h_3(m \otimes n)_1 S^{-1}(h_1) \end{aligned}$$

2a) Let us define a map

$$\pi : \text{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N \otimes H)$$

by

$$\pi(f)(m) = f(m_0)_0 \otimes f(m_0)_1 S(m_1)$$

Let f be H -linear. Using the commutativity of H , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(f)(hm) &= f((hm)_0)_0 \otimes f((hm)_0)_1 S((hm)_1) \\ &= (h_2 f(m_0))_0 \otimes (h_2 f(m_0))_1 S(h_3 m_1 S^{-1}(h_1)) \\ &= h_3 f(m_0)_0 \otimes h_4 f(m_0)_1 S^{-1}(h_2) h_1 S(m_1) S(h_5) \\ &= hf(m_0)_0 \otimes f(m_0)_1 S(m_1) = h\pi(f)(m) \end{aligned}$$

so $\pi(f)$ is H -linear, and π restricts to a map

$$\pi : {}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow {}_H\text{Hom}(M, N \otimes H)$$

Now H is finitely generated and projective as an H -module, so we have a natural isomorphism ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N \otimes H) \cong {}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \otimes H$, and we obtain a map

$$\pi : {}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow {}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \otimes H$$

with $\pi(f) = f_0 \otimes f_1$ if and only if

$$(\pi(f))(m) = f_0(m) \otimes f_1 = f(m_0)_0 \otimes f(m_0)_1 S(m_1)$$

It is straightforward to show that π makes ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N)$ a right H -comodule. Now take $f \in {}_H\text{Hom}^H(M, N)$ and $m \in M$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(f)(m) &= f_0(m) \otimes f_1 = f(m_0)_0 \otimes f(m_0)_1 S(m_1) \\ &= f(m_0) \otimes m_1 S(m_2) = f(m) \otimes 1 = (f \otimes 1)(m) \end{aligned}$$

so f is coinvariant. Conversely, take $f \in {}_H\text{Hom}(M, N)^{\text{co}H}$. Then for every $m \in M$

$$f(m_0)_0 \otimes f(m_0)_1 S(m_1) = f_0(m) \otimes f_1 = f(m) \otimes 1$$

and

$$f(m_0)_0 \otimes f(m_0)_1 S(m_1) m_2 = f(m_0) \otimes m_1$$

and it follows that

$$\rho_N(f(m)) = \rho_N(f(m_0)) \varepsilon(m_1) = f(m_0) \otimes m_1$$

and f is H -colinear.

2b) Clearly ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is an H -module and, by a), it is an H -comodule. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((hf)_0 \otimes (hf)_1)(m) &= ((hf)(m_0))_0 \otimes ((hf)(m_0))_1 S(m_1) \\ &= (h(f(m_0)))_0 \otimes (h(f(m_0)))_1 S(m_1) \\ &= h_2(f(m_0)_0) \otimes h_3(f(m_0)_1) S^{-1}(h_1) S(m_1) \\ &= h_2(f(m_0)_0) \otimes h_3(f(m_0)_1) S(m_1) s^{-1}(h_1) \\ &= h_2(f_0(m)) \otimes h_3 f_1 S^{-1}(h_1) \\ &= (h_2 f_0 \otimes h_3 f_1 S^{-1}(h_1))(m) \end{aligned}$$

so ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$. $\square$

Remark 1.3. The results in Lemma 1.2 remain true after we replace ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ by ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$. The H -coaction on $M \otimes_H N$ is given by

$$\rho_{M \otimes_H N}(m \otimes n) = m_0 \otimes n_0 \otimes m_1 n_1$$

The H -coaction on ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is also defined by (1). Part 2a) of Lemma 1.2 then also holds if H is noncommutative.

Lemma 1.4. *Let V be a k -module and N an H -module.*

- (1) *${}_H\text{Hom}(H \otimes V, N)$ and $\text{Hom}(V, N)$ are isomorphic as k -modules.*
- (2) *If V is projective as k -module, then $H \otimes V$ is projective in ${}_H\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. 1) is well-known: the k -isomorphism

$${}_H\text{Hom}(H \otimes V, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, N)$$

is defined by $\phi(f)(v) = f(1 \otimes v)$.

2) follows immediately from (1). $\square$

Let V be an H -comodule which is finitely generated and projective as a k -module. By Lemmas 1.1 and 1.4, $H \otimes V$ is an object in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ and in ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$, and is finitely generated projective as an H -module. So if N is an object of ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ and if H is commutative, then, by Lemma 1.2, ${}_H\text{Hom}(H \otimes V, N)$ is an object in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$. If N is an object of ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$, then by Remark 1.3, ${}_H\text{Hom}(H \otimes V, N)$ is an object of $\mathcal{M}^H$; if furthermore H is commutative, then ${}_H\text{Hom}(H \otimes V, N)$ is an object of ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$.

Lemma 1.5. *Let H be commutative and $N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$.*

- (1) *If V is an H -comodule which is finitely generated and projective as a k -module, then the H -comodules ${}_H\text{Hom}(H \otimes V, N)$ and $\text{Hom}(V, N)$ are isomorphic.*
- (2) *Let k be a field and V a finite-dimensional H -comodule that is projective as an H -comodule. Then $H \otimes V$ is a projective object of ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$.*

Proof. 1) Consider the canonical k -isomorphism

$$\phi : {}_H\text{Hom}(H \otimes V, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, N), \quad \phi(f)(v) = f(1 \otimes v)$$

ϕ is H -colinear since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(f)_0(v) \otimes \phi(f)_1 &= (\phi(f)(v_0))_0 \otimes (\phi(f)(v_0))_1 S(v_1) \\ &= f(1 \otimes v_0)_0 \otimes f(1 \otimes v_0)_1 S(v_1) \\ &= f((1 \otimes v)_0)_0 \otimes f((1 \otimes v)_0)_1 S((1 \otimes v)_1) \\ &= f_0(1 \otimes v) \otimes f_1 \\ &= (\phi(f_0))(v) \otimes f_1 \end{aligned}$$

2) By 1) and Lemma 1.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}_H\text{Hom}^H(H \otimes V, N) &\cong {}_H\text{Hom}(H \otimes V, N)^{\text{co}H} \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(V, N)^{\text{co}H} \cong \text{Hom}^H(V, N) \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Lemma 1.5 also holds with ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ replaced by ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$, and without the assumption that H is commutative.

Proposition 1.6. *Let k be a field. An object M of ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ or ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ is finitely generated as an H -module if and only if there exists a finite dimensional H -comodule V and an H -linear H -colinear epimorphism $\pi : H \otimes V \longrightarrow M$.*

Proof. If there exist a finite dimensional H -comodule V and an epimorphism of H -modules $\pi : H \otimes V \longrightarrow M$, then $H \otimes V$ is finitely generated as an H -module and M is a quotient of $H \otimes V$ in ${}_H\mathcal{M}$, so M is finitely generated in ${}_H\mathcal{M}$.

Suppose that M is finitely generated as an H -module, with generators $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$. By [3, 5.1.1], there exists a finite dimensional H -subcomodule V of M containing $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ and the k -linear map

$$\pi : H \otimes V \rightarrow M, \pi(h \otimes v) = hv$$

is an H -linear H -colinear epimorphism. $\square$

Let H^* be the linear dual of H . If M and N are H -comodules, then $\text{Hom}_k(M, N)$ is a left H^* -module, with H^* -action

$$(h^*f)(m) = h^*(f(m_0)_1 S(m_1)) f(m_0)_0$$

(adapt the proof of [12, Proposition 1.1]).

Lemma 1.7. *Let H be commutative. For $M, N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N)$ is a left H^* -submodule of $\text{Hom}_k(M, N)$.*

Proof. For all $\alpha \in H^*$, $f \in \text{Hom}_H(M, N)$, $h \in H$ and $m \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha f)(hm) &= \alpha \left(f((hm)_0)_1 S((hm)_1) \right) f((hm)_0)_0 \\ &= \alpha \left(f(h_2 m_0)_1 S(h_3 m_1 S^{-1}(h_1)) \right) f(h_2 m_0)_0 \\ &= \alpha \left((h_2(f(m_0)))_1 h_1 S(m_1) S(h_3) \right) (h_2 f(m_0))_0 \\ &= \alpha \left(h_4 f(m_0)_1 S^{-1}(h_2) h_1 S(m_1) S(h_5) \right) h_3 f(m_0)_0 \\ &= \alpha \left(f(m_0)_1 S(m_1) \right) h f(m_0)_0 \\ &= h((\alpha f)(m)) \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that αf is H -linear. Observe that we used the commutativity of H . $\square$

Recall that a left H^* -module M is called rational if there exists a right H -coaction on M inducing the left H^* -action.

Proposition 1.8. *Let H be a commutative Hopf algebra over a field k . If $M, N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ with M finitely generated as H -module, then ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.6, there exist a finite dimensional H -subcomodule V of M and an H -linear H -colinear epimorphism $\pi : H \otimes V \longrightarrow M$. So we obtain an injective k -linear map

$${}_H\mathrm{Hom}(\pi, N) : {}_H\mathrm{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow {}_H\mathrm{Hom}(H \otimes V, N)$$

For all $\alpha \in H^*$, $f \in {}_H\mathrm{Hom}(M, N)$, $h \in H$ and $v \in V$, we have $\pi(h \otimes v) = hv$, $(1 \otimes v)_0 \otimes (1 \otimes v)_1 = 1 \otimes v_0 \otimes v_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha f) \circ \pi(1 \otimes v) &= (\alpha f)(v) = \alpha(f(v_0)_1 S(v_1)) f(v_0)_0 \\ &= \alpha(f(\pi(1 \otimes v_0))_1 S(v_1)) f(\pi(1 \otimes v_0))_0 \\ &= \alpha(f(\pi(1 \otimes v)_0))_1 S((1 \otimes v)_1) f(\pi((1 \otimes v)_0))_0 \\ &= (\alpha(f \circ \pi))(1 \otimes v) \end{aligned}$$

This relation and the fact that $(\alpha f) \circ \pi$ and $\alpha(f \circ \pi)$ are H -linear imply that $((\alpha f) \circ \pi)(h \otimes v) = (\alpha(f \circ \pi))(h \otimes v)$, and it follows that the map ${}_H\mathrm{Hom}(\pi, N)$ is H^* -linear. By Lemma 1.2, ${}_H\mathrm{Hom}(H \otimes V, N)$ is an H -comodule, and therefore a rational H^* -module. It follows that ${}_H\mathrm{Hom}(M, N)$ is a rational H^* -module, being an H^* -submodule of the rational H^* -module ${}_H\mathrm{Hom}(H \otimes V, N)$. This shows that ${}_H\mathrm{Hom}(M, N)$ is an H -comodule. By Lemma 1.2, ${}_H\mathrm{Hom}(M, N) \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$. $\square$

Remark 1.9. 1) Lemma 1.7 is still true if we replace ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ by ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$, without the assumption that H is commutative.

2) We have the following Long dimodule version of Proposition 1.8: for a (not necessarily commutative) Hopf algebra over a field k , and $M, N \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$, with M finitely generated as an H -module, ${}_H\mathrm{Hom}(M, N) \in \mathcal{M}^H$.

2. PROJECTIVE AND INJECTIVE DIMENSION IN THE CATEGORY OF YETTER-DRINFELD MODULES

Lemma 2.1. *Let H be commutative, and $M, N, P \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, with N finitely generated projective as an H -module.*

(1) *We have a k -isomorphism*

$${}_H\mathrm{Hom}^H(M, {}_H\mathrm{Hom}(N, P)) \cong {}_H\mathrm{Hom}^H(M \otimes_H N, P)$$

(2) *The functor*

$${}_H\mathrm{Hom}(N, -) : {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$$

preserves injective objects.

Proof. 1) We have a natural isomorphism

$$\phi : {}_H\mathrm{Hom}(M, {}_H\mathrm{Hom}(N, P)) \rightarrow {}_H\mathrm{Hom}(M \otimes_H N, P)$$

given by $\phi(f)(m \otimes n) = f(m)(n)$. We will show that ϕ restricts to an isomorphism between ${}_H\text{Hom}^H(M, {}_H\text{Hom}(N, P))$ and ${}_H\text{Hom}^H(M \otimes_H N, P)$. Take $f \in {}_H\text{Hom}(M, {}_H\text{Hom}(N, P))$ and $\phi(f) = g$. Then f is H -colinear if and only if

$$f(m_0) \otimes m_1 = f(m)_0 \otimes f(m)_1$$

for all $m \in M$. Using (1), we find that this is equivalent to

$$f(m_0)(n) \otimes m_1 = f(m)_0(n) \otimes f(m)_1 = f(m)(n_0)_0 \otimes f(m)(n_0)_1 S(n_1)$$

for all $m \in M$ and $n \in N$, or

$$g(m_0 \otimes n) \otimes m_1 = g(m \otimes n_0)_0 \otimes g(m \otimes n_0)_1 S(n_1)$$

which is equivalent to

$$g(m_0 \otimes n_0) \otimes m_1 n_1 = g(m \otimes n_0)_0 \otimes g(m \otimes n)_1$$

and this equation means that g is H -colinear.

2) If I is an injective object of ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, then the functor

$${}_H\text{Hom}^H(-, I) : {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H \rightarrow {}_k\mathcal{M}$$

is exact. On the other hand, N is H -projective, hence the functor

$$(-) \otimes_H N : {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$$

is exact, and it follows from (1) that

$${}_H\text{Hom}^H(-, {}_H\text{Hom}(N, I)) : {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H \rightarrow {}_k\mathcal{M}$$

is exact. $\square$

If k is a field, then the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ is Grothendieck, and every object has an injective resolution. For every Yetter-Drinfeld module M , we can define the right derived functors ${}_H\text{Ext}^{H^i}(M, -)$ of the covariant left exact functor

$${}_H\text{Hom}^H(M, -) : {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H \rightarrow {}_k\mathcal{M}$$

Proposition 2.2. *Let H be a commutative Hopf algebra over a field k , and $M, N, P \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ with N finitely generated projective as an H -module. Then*

$${}_H\text{Ext}^{H^i}(M, {}_H\text{Hom}(N, P)) \cong {}_H\text{Ext}^{H^i}(M \otimes_H N, P)$$

Proof. By the first part of Lemma 2.1, the functors

$${}_H\text{Hom}^H(M, {}_H\text{Hom}(N, -)) \quad \text{and} \quad {}_H\text{Hom}^H(M \otimes_H N, -)$$

coincide on ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$. By the projectivity and the finiteness assumptions on N , the ${}_H\text{Hom}(N, -)$ is an exact endofunctor of ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$. By the second part of Lemma 2.1, it preserves the injective objects of ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$.

Thus the functor ${}_H\text{Hom}(N, -)$ preserves injective resolutions in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$. $\square$

In the following corollary, ${}_H\text{pdim}^H(-)$ and ${}_H\text{injdim}^H(-)$ denote respectively the projective and injective dimension in the category ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$.

Corollary 2.3. *Let H be a commutative Hopf algebra over a field k , and $M, N, P \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ with N finitely generated projective as an H -module. Then*

- (1) ${}_H\text{pdim}^H(M \otimes_H N) \leq {}_H\text{pdim}^H(M)$.
- (2) ${}_H\text{injdim}^H({}_H\text{Hom}(N, P)) \leq {}_H\text{injdim}^H(P)$.

Remarks 2.4. 1) Let H be semisimple. Then the projectivity assumption in Lemma 2.1, Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 is no longer needed.

2) If k is a field, then ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ is a Grothendieck category with enough injective objects, and every Long dimodule has an injective resolution. For every $M \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$, we can then define the right derived functors ${}_H\text{Ext}^{H^i}(M, -)$ of the covariant left exact functor

$${}_H\text{Hom}^H(M, -) : {}_H\mathcal{L}^H \rightarrow {}_k\mathcal{M}$$

All the results of this Section remain valid for ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$. If H is semisimple, then the projectivity assumptions are not needed.

3. SEMISIMPLICITY OF THE CATEGORY OF YETTER-DRINFELD MODULES

Throughout this Section, k will be a field, and H a commutative Hopf algebra. Recall that $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ is called simple if it has no proper subobjects; a direct sum of simples is called semisimple. If every $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ is semisimple, then we call the category ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ semisimple. We say that ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ satisfies condition $(\dagger)$ if the following holds: if $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ is finitely generated as a left H -module, then ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, -) : {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ is exact.

By Proposition 1.8, ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ if H is commutative and M is finitely generated as an H -module. Also observe that ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ satisfies condition $(\dagger)$ if H is semisimple.

Proposition 3.1. *Let H be commutative. Assume that ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ satisfies condition $(\dagger)$ and that the functor*

$$(-)^{\text{co}H} : {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

is exact. If $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ is finitely generated as an H -module, then M is a projective object in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$.

Proof. We know that

$${}_H\mathrm{Hom}^H(M, -) \cong {}_H\mathrm{Hom}(M, -)^{\mathrm{co}H}$$

so ${}_H\mathrm{Hom}^H(M, -)$ is exact since it is isomorphic to the composition of two exact functors. $\square$

Corollary 3.2. *With the same assumptions as in Proposition 3.1, and with H noetherian, we have that every object $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ which is finitely generated as an H -module is a direct sum in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ of a family of simple subobjects that are finitely generated as H -modules.*

Proof. Let N be a subobject of M in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$. Then M/N is finitely generated as an H -module and we have an exact sequence

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$$

in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$. N is finitely generated as H -module, since H is noetherian, so it follows from Proposition 3.1 that M/N and N are projective in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, hence the sequence (2) splits in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$. $\square$

Take $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ and V a right H -subcomodule of M . We will set

$$HV = \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} a_i v_i \mid a_i \in H, v_i \in V, \text{ where } I \text{ is a finite set} \right\}$$

HV is a subobject of M in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$; the H -action and H -coaction on HV are given by

$$\begin{aligned} h\left(\sum_{i \in I} a_i v_i\right) &= \sum_{i \in I} h a_i v_i \\ \rho\left(\sum_{i \in I} a_i v_i\right) &= \sum_{i \in I} (a_i)_2 (v_i)_0 \otimes (a_i)_3 (v_i)_1 S^{-1}((a_i)_1) \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.3. *Let H be commutative and noetherian. Assume that ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ satisfies condition $(\dagger)$, and that the functor $(-)^{\mathrm{co}H}$ from ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ to $\mathcal{M}$ is exact. Then $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ is a direct sum in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ of a family of simple subobjects that are finitely generated as H -modules. Therefore M is a semisimple object in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ and ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ is a semisimple category.*

Proof. Every $m \in M$ is contained in a finite-dimensional H -subcomodule V_m of M , see e.g. [3, 5.1.1]. Then HV_m is finitely generated as H -module, and, by Corollary 3.2, each HV_m is a direct sum of a family of simple subobjects of HV_m (and of M) in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, which are finitely generated as an H -module. Consequently each $m \in M$ is contained in a simple object which is finitely generated as an H -module, so M is a sum of simple objects finitely generated as an H -module. The sum is a direct sum since the intersection of two simple objects is trivial. $\square$

Corollary 3.4. *Let H be commutative, noetherian (in particular: finite dimensional), semisimple and cosemisimple. Then each $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ is a direct sum in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ of a family of simple subobjects of M finitely generated as H -modules. Hence M is semisimple in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ and ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ is a semisimple category.*

Proof. The cosemisimplicity of H implies that the functor

$$(-)^{\text{co}H} : \mathcal{M}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

is exact, and, a fortiori

$$(-)^{\text{co}H} : {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

is exact. □

Take $M, N \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$, with M finitely generated as an H -module. By Proposition 1.8 and Remark 1.9, ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \in \mathcal{M}^H$, and we can study the semisimplicity of ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$. We will say that ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ satisfies condition $(\dagger)$ if the functor

$${}_H\text{Hom}(M, -) : {}_H\mathcal{L}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^H$$

is exact for every H -finitely generated $M \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$. The previous results of this Section then remain true after we replace the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules by Long dimodules, and without the assumption that H is commutative. We state the results without proof.

Proposition 3.5. *Assume that ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ satisfies condition $(\dagger)$ and that the functor*

$$(-)^{\text{co}H} : \mathcal{M}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

is exact. Then every H -finitely generated $M \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ is a projective object in ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$.

Corollary 3.6. *Let H be left noetherian, and assume that the conditions of Proposition 3.5 are satisfied. Then every H -finitely generated $M \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ is a direct sum in ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ of a family of simple subobjects of M that are finitely generated as H -modules. ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ is a semisimple category.*

Corollary 3.7. *Let H be left noetherian (in particular: finite dimensional), semisimple and cosemisimple. Then each $M \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ is a direct sum in ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ of a family of simple subobjects of M that are finitely generated as H -modules. Hence $M \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ is semisimple and ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ is a semisimple category.*

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Caenepeel, “Brauer groups, Hopf algebras and Galois theory”, *K-Monographs Math.* **4**, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998.
- [2] S. Caenepeel, G. Militaru, and Shenglin Zhu, “Frobenius and separable functors for generalized module categories and nonlinear equations”, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1787**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [3] S. Dăscălescu, C. Năstăsescu and Ş. Raianu, “Hopf algebras: an Introduction”, *Monographs Textbooks in Pure Appl. Math.* **235**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2001.
- [4] V. G. Drinfel’d, Quantum groups, in “Proc. ICM at Berkeley”, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1987, 798–820.
- [5] C. Kassel, “Quantum Groups”, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* **155**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [6] L. Lambe, D. Radford, Algebraic aspects of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, *J. Algebra* **54** (1992), 228–288.
- [7] F. Long, The Brauer group of dimodule algebras, *J. Algebra* **30** (1974), 559–601.
- [8] S. Majid, “Foundations of quantum group theory”, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [9] G. Militaru, The Long dimodules category and nonlinear equations, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **2** (1999), 177–200.
- [10] S. Montgomery, “Hopf algebras and their actions on rings”, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1993.
- [11] D. Radford, J. Towber, Yetter-Drinfeld categories associated to an arbitrary bialgebra, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **87** (1993), 259–279.
- [12] D. Stefan, F. Van Oystaeyen, The Wedderburn-Malcev Theorem for comodule algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **27** (1999), 3569–3581.
- [13] M. E. Sweedler, “Hopf algebras”, Benjamin, New York, 1969.

FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCES, VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL, VUB, B-1050
BRUSSELS, BELGIUM

E-mail address: scaenepe@vub.ac.be

URL: <http://homepages.vub.ac.be/scaenepe/>

FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCES, VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL, VUB, B-1050
BRUSSELS, BELGIUM

E-mail address: guedenon@caramail.com